```
Page 1
 1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
10
     Oath Keepers 2024
11
     LOG NUMBER: 2023-0004935
12
     February 7, 2024
13
     AUDIO: johnn130707_001.MP3
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Log number 20230004935, this is the audio-recorded statement of accused member Police Officer John Nicezyporuk, star number 14461, assigned to the training and support group unit 124. This is relative to an allegation made by Sergeant Frierson. This interview is being taken place at Chicago Police Department Headquarters, located at 3510 South Michigan on the fifth floor of the Bureau of Internal Affairs, on 7 February 2024, in the advocate conference room, at 12:05 hours.

My name is Sergeant John Bartuch, star number 1598. I'm the primary investigator assigned to the Bureau of Internal Affairs. I'm in charge of this investigation recorded under log number 2023-0004935 and will be the primary interviewer during the course of this statement. Also present in this interview is Sergeant Tiffany Santiago, a secondary interviewer.

This statement will be in audiorecorded format and will concern itself with the
following allegation. It is alleged by Sergeant
Frierson that members of the Chicago Police
Department are members of the far-right terror
group Oath Keepers, as documented in an email to

- First Deputy Carter and numerous news articles by WBEZ.
 - Officer, can you please state and spell your name for the record?
- OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: Yes, John

 Nicezyporuk. The last name is spelled N-I-C-E-Z
 Y-P-O-R-U-K.
 - rank, star number, and unit of assignment?
- OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: Yes, I'm a police officer. My star is 14461, and I'm currently assigned to unit 124 in the range.
- SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: How long have you been assigned to your present unit?
- OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: Just shy of five years.
- SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: And what is your employee number and date of appointment to the department?
 - OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: My employee number is and I got on 25 August of 2003.
- SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Counsel, can you

 state your name -- state and spell your name for
 the record?
- OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: Sure, my name

3

4

20

is Tim, T-I-M; Grace, G-R-A-C-E. I am an FOP attorney, and I am here to represent Officer Nicezyporuk.

SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Prior to the start of the recording, were you given CPD Form 44.140, the audio-recorded statement instructions and guidelines?

OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: Yes.

SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Did you read, understand, and sign the instructions and guidelines for the audio-recorded statement?

OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: Yes, I did.

SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Do you have any questions about the process for an audio-recorded statement?

OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: No.

SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Have you been advised in writing of the charge -- the allegations made against you, the name of the complainant, and the date and time of the incident, and your administrative rights?

OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: Yes.

SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Did you read and understand these allegations and your rights?

OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: Yes.

SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Do you understand that this is an official police department report and that any deviation from the truth can result in allegations being placed against you?

OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: I do.

SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Rule 14 of the Chicago Police Department Rules and Regulations prohibits making false reports, whether written or oral. You may be separated from the Chicago Police Department if you make a false report. Do you understand?

OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: I do understand.

SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Are you ready to give your statement?

TIM GRACE: This is Tim Grace. Before the officer gives his Garrity rights, I'd just like to put a couple objections on the record. The allegations are that he was a member of the Oath Keepers, which is -- my concern I have is that the Oath Keepers have never been deemed to be a domestic terrorist organization or a terrorist organization by any law enforcement agency, the FBI, Homeland Security, or any other law enforcement agency that deals with those

types of things.

And I note -- also note that there were no general orders prohibiting a police officer from joining a organization, as long as they weren't involved in some type of criminal activity, as we'll learn from Officer

Nicezyporuk. He was not involved in anything along those lines. It is also a concern that he does have a First Amendment right of freedom of speech and freedom of assembly.

And I have further concerns that it appears that these allegations stem from information obtained from a news organization that requested the initiation of CRs from hacked information, so illegal information. It just appears to be no concrete evidence that the officer was involved in any type of illicit activities with respect to the Oath Keepers, so I want to put that on the record. And the officer will now read his compelled statement rights.

OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: I would like to preface this statement by stating that I am not giving this statement voluntarily, but under duress. I am only giving this statement at this time because I have been advised by the Chicago

Police Department that if I do not give a statement, I will face disciplinary proceedings that will lead to termination of my employment.

On advice of counsel, I want to object

to the delay in this investigation. This incident occurred approximately 14 years ago. This delay is prejudicial to my ability to clearly recall the details of this incident so that I may defend myself and provide a clear recitation of the facts.

SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Officer
Nicezyporuk, prior to the start of the audio
recording, were you shown Exhibit 1?

OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: Yes, I was.

SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Were you ever notified by the Anti-Defamation League?

OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: No.

SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Are you currently a member of the Oath Keepers?

OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: No.

SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Have you ever been a member of the Oath Keepers?

OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: I don't -- to be honest, I don't even know like, what membership -- like, maintaining membership, I

2.0

don't even know what that would entail, so I would have to say no.

SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Were you ever part of the Oath Keepers?

OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: I did join, yes.

SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: And when you say "join," what do you mean?

TIM GRACE: Tell the sergeant your involvement.

OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: Okay.

I saw -- there -- I was on a gun forum, because I'm a gun enthusiast. So I was always on this -- on a bunch of forums, and it had come up -- the Oath Keepers group had come up, and so I was led to believe that it was a Second Amendment group, and since I am a gun enthusiast, I just thought, okay, I'm in. So I believe I went on Oath Keepers website and joined up. They sent a packet that I believed had a certificate and perhaps a patch, and that was the extent of my involvement.

SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Do you know when this was?

OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: I want to say -

- I = I don't recall exactly. I want to say it was the -- perhaps around 2010.
 - SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: And when you say you "joined up," did you pay to join up?

OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: I don't recall.

SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: And at that point, what did you know about the Oath Keepers when you did join?

OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: Just that it was -- that they were geared towards the Second Amendment, that it was just a Second Amendment group, similar to the NRA.

SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Did you think that you were participating with a white supremacy or hate group?

OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: No, no.

SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: How did you become involved in this group?

OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: Again, I -- my only involvement was reading about it on that gun forum, and then joining.

SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Now, you were unsure of membership, but what does membership mean to you?

OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: I suppose it --

to me, it would mean -- it would mean dues. 1 would mean participation. Yeah, that's about it. SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Okay, did you --3 when you signed up for the Oath Keepers, did you 4 pay dues? 5 OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: Dues? 6 No, I think -- I don't recall. I believe there was an 7 initial fee, but I can't be certain of it. 8 9 SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Okay, do you remember paying more than once? 10 11 OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: No, no. SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Okay, and did you -12 - do you remember what that fee was to join? 13 OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: No. 14 SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: And what kind of 15 16 membership or participation did they have? Like, 17 was there different classes of membership or participation? 18 19 OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: I don't recall. 20 SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Do you remember how 21 you paid for the --22 OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: No, no. 23 SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Now, you mentioned 24 before, you didn't consider this a membership? 25 OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: As far as --

membership as far as -- I mean, I have -- I don't fully understand what is meant like, by the allegations saying that I was a member of this group, as if I was participating on a full or part-time basis versus me just sending in a check or credit card number to a group just because I support the Second Amendment. So that would be my confusion.

SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: About membership?

OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: Yes.

SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Do you remember if you ever paid anything related to the Oath Keepers with your credit card?

OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: I don't recall.

SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Would there be any reason your credit card information would have been associated with the Oath Keepers' leaked information?

OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: I suppose it could be.

SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Would there be any reason your address, email address, or phone number would have been associated with the Oath Keepers' leaked information?

OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: That would make

2.0

- sense, just extrapolating. That's how -typically, when you do anything online with a
 credit card, they're going to ask for an email,
 so that makes sense. I could see that.
 - SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Did you receive in the mail a small little constitution from the Oath Keepers?
- OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: I don't recall. It probably was in the packet, but I seem to remember like, a patch, like a little -- like a tab, like in the military, and a certificate, but I don't remember a copy of the constitution being included.
- SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Do you still have those items?
- 16 OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: No.
- SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Did you ever participate in any Oath Keeper private chat rooms?
- 20 OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: No.
- 21 SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: What, if any,
- 22 social media platforms do you use?
- OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: Do I use?
- 24 | Instagram, Reddit, that's about it.
- 25 SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Did you ever make

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

reference to the Oath Keepers or the Oath Keeper 1 events on any of your social media platforms? OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: Oh, no. 3 don't engage in conversation. That's just 4 inviting disaster, so I just read. I don't 5 participate. 6 7 SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: This is going to be 8 a past tense and a current situation. Do you have any involvement with the Oath Keepers today? 9 10 OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: 11 SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Okay, did you have any involvement with the Oath Keepers after you 12 13 initially joined up? OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: 14 No. SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Have you ever 15 16 participated in Oath Keeper events? 17 OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: No. SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Now, you mentioned 18 19 that you did receive a certificate, some stuff in 20 the mail. Did you ever receive any material, 21 brochures, pamphlets, news articles from the Oath 22 Keepers? OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: 23 No. 24 SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Did you ever

receive emails, text messages involving Oath

Page 14 Keepers? 1 OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: Not that I recall, no. 3 SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: And what do you 4 5 know about the Oath Keepers now? OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: I know they 6 7 were involved with the January 6th incident. That's about it. 8 9 SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Have you ever 10 promoted the Oath Keepers at work to other 11 officers? OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: 12 No. 13 SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Did you ever recruit other officers to the Oath Keepers? 14 OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: 15 No. 16 SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Were you recruited 17 to the Oath Keepers by other officers? OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: 18 19 SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Did you ever not 20 comply with an order because it contradicted what 21 the Oath Keepers' message was? 22 OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: No. 23 SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Were you ever 24 disciplined for not complying with an order

because it contradicted what the Oath Keepers'

25

888-391-3376 www.veritext.com

1 | message --

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: No.

SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: All right, I'm going to show you -- prior to the start of the audio recording, I showed you Exhibit 2, which is the NPR articles. You are named in this article. Do you know why you're named in that article?

OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: Because they say I was a member of Oath Keepers.

SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Did NPR attempt to call you for a comment?

OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: Yes.

SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Did you make a

comment?

15 OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: No.

SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Did you give any statements?

OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: No.

SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: There were several past incidents mentioned in that article. Did any of those past incidents have anything to do with your involvement with the Oath Keepers or something that somebody was saying?

OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: No.

SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Did you ever notify

any supervisors that the NPR reached out to you? 1 OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: Did -- no. 2. SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Did you ever 3 generate any reports after being contacted? 4 OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: 5 SGT. TIFFANY SANTIAGO: You said 6 7 approximately in 2010 you were on a gun forum, which is when you learned about the Oath Keepers. 8 Where were you assigned at this time? 9 OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: The 15th 10 District. 11 SGT. TIFFANY SANTIAGO: On the watch? 12 13 OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: Yes. SGT. TIFFANY SANTIAGO: Which watch? 14 Third. Or I'm OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: 15 16 sorry, first. SGT. TIFFANY SANTIAGO: First watch, so 17 18 midnight? 19 OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: Um-hum. 2.0 SGT. TIFFANY SANTIAGO: So you were on 21 the gun forum, approximately 2010, and then the Oath Keepers came up. What did you learn about 22 23 them in the gun forum? 24 OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: Just that they seemed to be geared more towards Second Amendment

1 rights. Yeah, that -- at that point, there was no concealed carry here or anything like that. So I was led to believe that they were -- it was more like a lobby group, that they were going to -- that they were going to be you know, making efforts towards that, towards concealed carry for 7 civilians that are legally able to obtain a concealed carry permit, that type of thing. SGT. TIFFANY SANTIAGO: So in this gun

forum, you learned about Oath Keepers. Were there any benefits that you would have gotten by joining the Oath Keepers?

> OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: No.

SGT. TIFFANY SANTIAGO: Any discounts?

OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: Not that I was

16 aware.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

17 SGT. TIFFANY SANTIAGO: Are you a 18 member of the Oath Keepers now?

OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: I don't believe I -- again, that gets messy. I don't know if they just consider you a member for life or if they expect dues or --

SGT. TIFFANY SANTIAGO: Have you received emails from them?

OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: I have not.

1	SGT. TIFFANY SANTIAGO: Ever?
2	OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: I I don't
3	recall exactly. I may have at some point after I
4	first joined, but I don't recall exactly what.
5	SGT. TIFFANY SANTIAGO: Approximately
6	when was the last time you received an email from
7	Oath Keepers that you noticed?
8	OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: It had to be
9	soon after I joined, but it was the type that
10	just went that I just I just deleted it or
11	put it into spam. I was too busy to I was too
12	busy for that, so
13	SGT. TIFFANY SANTIAGO: Do you still
14	utilize the same email address that you did when
15	you signed up for Oath Keepers?
16	OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: If it's the one
17	that NPR used, then yes, because they contacted
18	me by email as well.
19	SGT. TIFFANY SANTIAGO: And what did
20	NPR say when they contacted you by email?
21	OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: They wanted my
22	side of the story. I
23	SGT. TIFFANY SANTIAGO: Did you respond
24	via email?
25	OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: I did not.

1 SGT. TIFFANY SANTIAGO: Okay, I don't have any other questions. 2 SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: At this point, are 3 you aware of General Order G08-01, complaint and 4 5 disciplinary systems? OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: 6 Yes. SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: I'm going to direct 7 your attention to the highlighted section. 8 9 TIM GRACE: What's the date of effect, the date on this order? 10 11 SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: 29 December 2023. TIM GRACE: So we'd object to this 12 13 general order in the sense that it was not in place back in 2010, but with that, we'll 14 (indiscernible). 15 16 SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Okay. 17 TIM GRACE: We are familiar with this 18 order. 19 SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Okay, awesome. 20 Thank you. Well, then, at that point, I'm just 21 going to ask you, do you -- Officer, do you have 22 any membership information regarding the Oath 23 Keepers? 24 OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: I do not. 25 SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Okay. Do you have

1 any dates of Oath Keepers meetings --2

OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: No.

SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Okay. Did -- you never attended Oath Keepers meetings?

OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK:

SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Okay, do you have any material gathered at Oath Keepers -- like, did they send you anything in the mail?

OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: Other than that initial packet, no. I don't have that, either.

SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Okay. Okay. Just to keep it consistent with the other interviews, I am going to give you a written and direct order, even though this general order states that you have to cooperate with an administrative proceeding. You already said that you don't have any of this stuff.

Counsel, it's your understanding that none of these items he has in his possession? TIM GRACE: Yeah, we believe that's an -- that -- we believe that is an illegal direct order. A police officer is not supposed to follow illegal orders, but there's no reason to get into that fight right now. We'll just tell you that we do not possess any of the documents

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

that I believe you're going to ask us about.

SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Okay. So at this point, Officer Nicezyporuk, you are hereby ordered both verbally and in writing form by me, Sergeant Bartuch, star number 1598, on Wednesday 7 February 2024 at 12:24 hours at 3510 South Michigan, the Bureau of Internal Affairs, to follow an administrative order.

Police Officer John Nicezyporuk, you are ordered to deliver to the Bureau of Internal Affairs a package addressed to me, Sergeant Bartuch, star 1598, on or before Tuesday 13 February, 2024 at ten o'clock, and the package would have contained any of those things, any membership information, any of the Oath Keepers' meetings, any material gathered, any payment information, any material you received in the mail, and any emails.

This order is hereby acknowledged at 12:15 on 7 February by Nicezyporuk at 3510 South Michigan. And then, what I'm going to ask you to do is just sign and date this.

And then, counsel, you...

TIM GRACE: We acknowledge the order. We'll -- we still believe it's an illegal order,

but we'll comply with the order, and we'll tell 1 you on the record that we do not have any such material. 3 Is that accurate? 4 OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: Yes. 5 TIM GRACE: Okay, do you want to sign 6 there and just print your name and your star 7 number? 8 How do you get that from that? 9 10 OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: What do you 11 mean? TIM GRACE: That's the signature? 12 13 OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: Yeah, it's quick. 14 SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Thank you. 15 16 Thank you. TIM GRACE: Um-hum. 17 SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Officer, is there 18 19 anything relative to this incident that you wish to add to this statement? 20 OFC. JOHN NICEZYPORUK: 21 SGT. JOHN BARTUCH: Okay. This now 22 concludes the audio-recorded statement of Police 23 24 Officer John Nicezyporuk, star number 14461,

conducted by the Bureau of Internal Affairs

```
Page 23
     Seargeant John Bartuch, star number 1598, on 7
 1
     February 2024, regarding log number 2023-0004935.
     Statement concluded at 12:26 hours.
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATION

I, Sonya Ledanski Hyde, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

11 Veritext Legal Solutions

12 330 Old Country Road

13 Suite 300

14 | Mineola, NY 11501

16 Date: February 23, 2024